Overall, you have not met the task requirements.

The paragraph formatting in your submission is not to industry standard and should be revised.

* Some other minor changes needed. 3.2 You have only provided an example of written communication. You have given an example of written communication, but you also need to provide an example of oral communication. You may wish to expand this section, or claim the other communication skill in a separate example.
* 1.4 You need to be more specific when discussing how you met the competency. You have stated "I devoted a substantial amount of time to critically appraising these advancements and their relevance to my project....These options were arrived at by analysing relevant research literature focusing on computation ability, low power consumption and CAN compatibility" But you have not identified how/what was used to conduct the research. 1.4 is best claimed by describing how you wrote a lit review in your thesis, and what you concluded from the review (1.4 (a)), or referring to some kind of research that you did/found/reviewed, and how you used that research to do something (1.4 (b)).
* 3.3 You are writing about the competency without providing any specific examples of how YOU meet the competency. See the indicators of attainment again and provide a relevant specific example. Provide further details on what you did, how and why you did it. How and why was your evaluation holistic? How was your method challenging the current practice? What was innovative about your solution.
* You are writing about the competency without providing any specific examples of how YOU meet the competency. This is a very wordy response that does not directly state what you did.
* 1.6 Most of this is written in the passive (something was tested, something was done, somebody did something) but your role is unclear. You need to have more direct and specific examples of YOUR actions using "I". revise to make your role clearer.
* 2.4 Your response lacks an example that effectively demonstrates your competence. Merely stating that you participated in meetings is not sufficient; you need to provide specific actions or accomplishments to showcase your competence in action.
* 3.4 For 3.4 (a), you need to give specifics of how you found/accessed information, so which databases or sites did you use to do your searches. Give an example of a specific journal or specific documents that you accessed and read (and how you found them).
* 3.6 This section needs proof reading. Its also a bit vague. For example you state "I learned how to confidently pursue expert assistance and professional advice to make informed decisions regarding my capabilities, which in turn enhanced my team’s collective performance." but you have not specified how you learnt this things or how the outcomes came from a specific event/interaction. Please revise all responses to align with the feedback provided before resubmitting. Highlight all changes made in the re-submission.

Prof prac report 3 meetings, code-reviews and KPI planning